

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,378	03/17/2004	David T. Krick	111548-136408	3802
25943 7	7590 05/02/2005		EXAMINER	
SCHWABE,	WILLIAMSON & WY	JOYCE, HAROLD		
PACWEST CE	ENTER, SUITES 1600-190 TH AVENUE	00	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PORTLAND, OR 97204			3749	
			D. TE. M. H. ED. 05/00/000	

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		,	(/)			
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/802,378	KRICK ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Harold Joyce	3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	iress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft office of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply many	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	-	in the final rejection wh	vichovar is later. In			
b) Mr The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	FILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beganning and local and loc		educing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	iected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		,	•			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	· <del></del> .					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	,	•	_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	□  will not be entered, or b)	ill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			•			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.			
NEQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
Applicant's argument fails on the onset since he is arguis not based on a combination of references but upon s	ing the proposed combination of re	ferences when in effe	ect, the rejection			
for either Hampel or Rapisarda et al. to be capable of	performing the claimed functions. In					
al. are capable of perforing the function as indicated on	i page / oi applicants remarks.	•				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

Hardy Joyce Plimary Examiner Art Unit: 3749

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)